Inside this issue:

- **Deranged Kilmar Abrego Garcia Campaign: Better Put Dems on Suicide Watch**
- **From Sacco and Vanzetti to Abrego Garcia: A Century of Lawfare and Victim Hoaxes**
- **EU Migration: Polish PM Donald Tusk — A Globalist Posing as a Populist**
- **Defying SCOTUS, Arkansas Puts 10 Commandments in Classrooms**
- **Bessent: U.S. Will Stay in IMF/World Bank and "Reform" Them**
- **Another Con-Con Victory: Convention Call Rescinded in Washington State**

Deranged Kilmar Abrego Garcia Campaign: Better Put Dems on Suicide Watch

Are the folks at the DNC completely clueless and tone deaf, or have the crazies *totally* taken control of the party? Do they have a death wish? Shouldn't someone put them on suicide watch? One might imagine that, following their disaster in last November's presidential election, cooler heads would prevail and convince party activists to back off the militant wokism, socialism, and communism that are alienating voters. DEI, Drag Queens, Critical Race Theory, open borders, migrant invasions, anti-Americanism, Soros prosecutors, gender mutilation of children, climate hysteria, censoring opponents, lawfare against political rivals — those are not winning tickets. Seems pretty elementary, right? Well, not if you're AOC, Ilhan Omar, Hakim Jeffries, Kamala Harris, CNN, NPR, CBS — or Maryland's Senator Chris Van Holland. In fact, say the same unhinged Democrats, it's time to *double down* on extreme wokism. Thus, we are treated to the cringy spectacle of shrieking, weeping protesters demanding the return of illegal alien "Maryland Dad" Kilmar Abrego Garcia from El Salvador.

In a sane, moral world, Senator Van Holland's media-stunt pilgrimage to El Salvador to free the MS-13 thug would finish his political career. But, in the world of Democratic Party politics today, sanity and morality are apparent orphans. So, like moths to the fire, more delusional Dems have followed Van Holland's path to El Salvador, including Representatives Robert Garcia of California, Maxwell Frost of Florida, Maxine Dexter of Oregon, and Yassamin Ansari of Arizona. If these interviews with Maryland citizens is any indication, Senator Van Holland is facing serious political blowback for siding with Abrego Garcia instead of with his constituents who are victims of MS-13 violence.
— William F. Jasper

From Sacco and Vanzetti to Abrego Garcia: A Century of Lawfare and Victim Hoaxes

The Abrego Garcia gambit is but the latest in a century-long series of communist propaganda stunts, many of which have been incredibly successful, from the communist viewpoint, thanks to the indispensable aid of their comrades in the fourth estate. In 1920, two Italian immigrants, Nicola Sacco and Bartolomeo Vanzetti, robbed a shoe-manufacturing company in Braintree, Massachusetts. During the course of the robbery, they murdered Frederick Parmenter, a paymaster, and Alessandro Berardelli, a guard.

Contributors: Gary Benoit • Steve Bonta • Paul Dragu • Christian Gomez

William F. Jasper • Alex Newman • Peter Rykowski • Rebecca Terrell • Joe Wolverton II, J.D.

Copyright ©2025 by *The New American*

The killers were arrested, tried, convicted of first-degree murder, and sentenced to death. However, Sacco and Vanzetti were anarchists, followers of Luigi Galleani, a violent revolutionary advocate of bombing, assassination and "continuous attack" against institutions of capitalism and the state. In other words, the Galleanistis, as they were called, were the Antifa of their day. So, naturally, all the loonies of the Left rushed to the defense of these "oppressed" immigrants, with not a thought of concern for their murdered victims. Thus began a series of delays and appeals that dragged on for seven years.

But, it wasn't until 1925, five years in, that the duo were transformed into a worldwide *cause célèbre*. How did that come about? Well, it was then that Stalin's Comintern (Communist International) assigned this task to its chief propaganda maestro, Willi Munzenberg. The Comintern had the advantage of having communist parties and a network of front groups, fellow travelers, publications, and friendly journalists in virtually every major city of every country in the world. The communists also had the benefit of a network of communist and pro-communist attorneys working through the Moscow-directed International Red Aid. (More on that and its ties to the American Civil Liberties Union next week). Operating out of Berlin, Munzenberg orchestrated a global campaign to portray the guilty murderers as innocent martyrs. Why? It was clear from the actions and statements of some of the communist leaders that they knew the pair was guilty and really didn't want to see them acquitted, pardoned, or reprieved. One of their champions, the liberal journalist/poet/novelist Katherine Anne Porter, records a chilling conversation she had with Comintern agent Rosa Baron, who was Porter's "group leader" during the Sacco-Vanzetti death watch. Porter commented that she hoped the duo could be saved. "Saved?" Baron responded, "Who wants them saved? What earthly good would they do us alive?"

No, the whole point of the "Save Sacco and Vanzetti" ruse was to condemn the systemic "racism," "xenophobia," "class oppression," and "rampant injustice" of the United States of America. The idea and image of America as the land of opportunity and freedom had to be destroyed if the communist revolution, which was then only a few years old in

#1
Veterinarian Recommended Brand
COSEQUIN®
JOINT HEALTH SUPPLEMENT
Cosequin® has a variety of formulas to help support your pet's healthy joints and cartilage.
Visit WWW.COSEQUIN.COM
nutramax LABORATORIES VETERINARY SCIENCES, INC.

Russia, were to survive and thrive. So, Sacco-Vanzetti protests and rallies "spontaneously"erupted in London, Paris, Tokyo, Amsterdam, Stockholm, New York, Chicago, San Francisco, Geneva, Johannesburg, Berlin, Buenos Aires, Mexico City, and dozens more cities. Riots frequently ensued, with some turning deadly.

This formula has been used over and over and over again by the same forces, the same organizations, and the same, never-ending stream of Lenin's "useful idiots." So, we have seen repeated communist-led "Save Sacco and Vanzetti" clones: "Save the Rosenbergs," "Save Mumia Abu Jamal," "Free Angela Davis," "Free Assata Shakur," "Free Leonard Peltier," "Free the Chicago 7," "Free the Miami 5," "Free Nelson Mandela," "Remember George Floyd," and many more. And now, "Free Abrego Garcia."

The scripted Sacco-Vanzetti campaign provides the template and the inspiration. One hundred years later, the anarchist murderers are still being presented to new

generations as glorious martyrs. Type in "Sacco and Vanzetti" on Amazon and you will find *dozens* of books lionizing them. Like the images of Che Guevarra, Ho Chi Minh, and Nelson Mandela that festoon the t-shirts and hoodies of wannabe campus revolutionaries, Sacco and Vanzetti are also represented in the radical chic wardrobe and décor departments. In 1971, an award-winning film with a beautiful musical score (regrettably, by one of my favorite composers, Ennio Morricone) immortalized them cinematically. Communist historian Howard Zinn, whose *A People's History of the United States* is taught in thousands of American classrooms, declared: "The case of Sacco and Vanzetti revealed, in its starkest terms, that the noble words inscribed above our courthouses, 'Equal Justice Before the Law,' have always been a lie." Naturally, Zinn proceeds to condemn America in his typical vituperative fashion.

Contrary to Zinn's bald lie, the truth about "the case of Sacco and Vanzetti" shows that in this imperfect world the two miscreants received a fair hearing and better justice than they deserved. In fact, they received a far better shake than did Munzenberg, Otto Katz, and other Comintern agents who faced arrest, torture, and execution during Stalin's Great Purge a few years later. They and thousands of communists like them undoubtedly would have loved to have had access to the American system of justice they had previously condemned.

The communist lie machine has largely succeeded, thanks to a million repetitions. But against the mountain of lies that has been constructed about this pair of criminals, there remains a wellspring of truth: *Sacco-Vanzetti: The Murder and the Myth* by Robert H. Montgomery. Montgomery was an eminent Boston attorney (Harvard Law School, 1912, *cum laude*) who followed the case closely as it unfolded and meticulously researched all aspects of the case for years thereafter. It was first published in 1960 by Devin-Adair Publishing Company. After that edition went out of print, it was published in a pocket paperback edition by Western Islands, an imprint of The John Birch Society, which gave the book a much wider circulation. If you want to know the truth about Sacco and Vanzetti and to be forearmed to understand the present case of Abrego Garcia (and similar future cases that are sure to arise), this is the place to start.

Also, a three-volume work containing thousands of Comintern documents from 1919 to 1943 is available here, here, and here. In addition, decades after Montgomery's book came out, more documents released from the Comintern archives have confirmed the crucial involvement of Stalin's agents and dupes in pushing the whole Sacco-Vanzetti narrative. Two important books that have made use of these more recent documents and are especially relevant in this respect are *Double Lives: Stalin, Willi Munzenberg and the Seduction of the Intellectuals* by Stephen Koch, 1995, and *The Red Millionaire: A Political Biography of Willy Münzenberg, Moscow's Secret Propaganda Tsar in the West, 1917-1940* by Sean McMeekin. Moreover, among the many important interviews conducted by Koch are his interviews with Munzenberg's widow and fellow Comintern agent, Babette Gross. Gross's sister, Margarete Buber-Neumann, a famous author, was also a communist and married to the Comintern representative in Germany, Heinz Neumann. Margarete survived the Soviet Gulag and the Nazi concentration camps. Their grim experiences caused both Babette and Margarete to become staunch anti-communists.

But the Orwellian memory hole is deep. Go to the Wikipedia entry for Sacco and Vanzetti and the only mention you'll find regarding the communist hands involved in the Sacco-Vanzetti propaganda extravaganzas is a back-handed attempt to discredit the whole idea. According to Wikipedia, "it has been alleged that some of these activities were organized by the Communist Party." Alleged? It was shouted on the front pages of *The Daily Worker*, *The New Masses*, and every

other Comintern-directed communist publication. Former communists and Comintern agents such as Eugene Lyons, Maurice Malkin, and Benjamin Gitlow, as well as the Comintern archives, have confirmed in spades the extensive Soviet direction of the operation. But does Wikipedia mention any of this? Of course not. Does it mention the well-researched Munzenberg books by Koch and McMeekin noted above? Again, no. We're not surprised. Wikipedia is a notorious abyss of deceit, censorship, left-wing bias, and disinformation, as Larry Sanger, Wikipedia's co-founder and now uber critic, has repeatedly exposed. (See here and here.) Unfortunately, Wikipedia is only the tip of the iceberg in the Orwellian mind prison we are being herded into.
— William F. Jasper

EU Migration: Polish PM Donald Tusk — A Globalist Posing as a Populist

Will Polish Prime Minister Donald Tusk open the migration floodgates after campaigning as an anti-migration hardliner? Very likely, as we explain below. Tusk critics and supporters alike acknowledge that he appropriated the anti-migration rhetoric of his opponents for political expediency. A certified globalist, he has been the political darling of the one-world elites, as exemplified by the World Economic Forum (WEF), the European Council on Foreign Relations (ECFR), the European Union (EU), Chatham House (Royal Institute of International Affairs, RIIA), the Club of Rome, the Club of Madrid, etc. Particularly telling is this headline and story of June 20, 2024, from the Chatham House website: "Donald Tusk awarded 2024 Chatham House Prize." The subtitle informs us, "The award acknowledges the prime minister's commitment to restoring democracy in Poland." Restoring democracy? How? Well, a more recent Chatham House article puts a finer point on his political agenda: His assignment from the Deep State elites is to "purge the far right in Poland." ("Tusk eyes chance to purge far right in Poland's presidential election"). This is the same task that political minions have been assigned in Germany, France, Italy, Hungary — and everywhere throughout Europe. The burgeoning populist/nationalist movement *must be stopped*!

The Chatham House paean to Tusk says:

> Tusk's Civic Platform party leads a coalition that came to power in 2023, defeating the far-right Law and Justice Party (PiS) after eight years in office. His victory was built on a vision of helping 'Poland regain its position as a leader of the European Union' and rebuilding its institutions, after what his supporters saw as a decade of nationalist purging.
>
> The reforms enacted by the anti-EU PiS and its leader, Andrzej Duda, included taking control of Poland's public broadcaster, rejecting EU migrant quotas, appointing ultra-conservative judges to the constitutional court and implementing an almost total ban on abortion.

Tusk's job is to reverse Poland's increasingly anti-EU, pro-nationalist tack of the past decade. To do that he has had to posture as a hardline anti-migrationist, since Polish voters have shown overwhelming opposition to the EU program for flooding Europe with migrants from

Africa and Muslim countries. In a similar deception, he and his image-makers have built a false reputation about him as a "Hayekian" free-market champion, even as he has pushed the EU programs shackling Europe with Soviet-style regulations. After his earlier political career as a member of parliament and prime minister, Tusk moved on to Brussels to serve as president of the European Council from 2014 to 2019. He then served as president of the European People's Party from 2019 to 2022. He has been a strong advocate for the continued path of EU political and economic integration, which means the death of any residual national sovereignty of the European nations. He was a vocal opponent of the British exit from the EU (Brexit). And he presided during the EU-engineered Muslim mass migration invasion that took off in 2014-2015 and has continued to the present.

Which brings us back to the ECFR, which is the EU sister organization set up by the American Council on Foreign Relations to advance the CFR's world government agenda in Europe. Piotr Buras, an ECFR Senior Policy Fellow and head of the ECFR office in Warsaw, lets the cat out of the bag in an article titled, "Tusk's asylum coup: Breaking away from populist narratives." Buras is reassuring his fellow globalists that Donald Tusk is not Donald Trump; he is not converting to a nationalist. He is simply saying what is necessary to win. Says Buras:

> Like his counterparts Mette Frederiksen in Denmark or Mark Rutte in the Netherlands, Tusk wants to outsmart populists by adopting their tough rhetoric on migration in the hopes that it will bolster his party's candidate in the crucial presidential election of 2025.

"But Tusk has not suddenly transformed into an anti-migration crusader," Buras assures his audience. "Unlike Orbán, he is not going to abolish the right to asylum, nor is he resorting to racist and xenophobic arguments."

No, Tusk is merely stealing the campaign rhetoric of his "far right" opponents so he can circle back and continue the Poland-EU "integration" process. That could mean a huge tsunami of migrants that Germany and other EU countries are trying to foist onto Poland. You see, Angela Merkel's great "Welcome, Welcome" scheme with the UN that brought more than a million migrants to Germany in 2015-2016 has not turned out to be the happy plan that was promised. Violent crime, rape, terrorism, and welfare dependency costs have taken the shine off. So now Germany wants to dump tens of thousands of its "welcomed" migrants on Poland. In a video posted on X, Dariusz Matecki, a member of the Polish parliament, warns that plans are underway that

could see Germany sending up to 70,000 migrants per year to Poland. Speaking outside of a German migrant center near the Polish border, Matecki says that this alarming stealth agenda could flood Poland with migrants in very short order. Is this what Tusk and the EU elites have planned? It appears so. This is why all of the Deep State media and their kept academics and think tank "experts" are so assiduously promoting Rafał Trzaskowski from Tusk's Civic Platform Party for president in the upcoming May 18 elections.
— William F. Jasper

Defying SCOTUS, Arkansas Puts 10 Commandments in Classrooms

God's laws are coming back to the classroom in more and more states. Joining a national trend, government schools and buildings in Arkansas must display the Ten Commandments and the national motto "In God We Trust" under a new bill signed into law by Governor Sarah Huckabee Sanders.

Some far-left and anti-Christian critics were upset by the bill and made a lot of noise. They cited previous Supreme Court rulings to claim it was "unconstitutional." But state lawmakers passed the measure overwhelmingly, with 71 to 20 in the House and 27 to 4 in the Senate. The measure is popular with the public, too.

The new law, known as Senate Bill 433 (now 573), mandates a "durable poster or framed copy of a historical representation of the Ten Commandments" to be posted in government-school classrooms and other buildings across Arkansas. The display must be readable to a person with average vision.

Lawmakers explained why it was proper and important for the holy laws to be placed in schools. "You cannot separate the history of our nation and the development of moral law and the Ten Commandments, nor should we," explained Arkansas Representative Alyssa Brown, a Republican and sponsor of the bill.

"Every day, as members, we stand on the House floor and we take a pledge of allegiance to one nation under God. We have the 'In God We Trust' motto in those same classrooms," she added. "We're not telling every student they have to believe in this God, but we are upholding what those historical documents mean and that historical national motto."

A spokesman for the governor echoed those remarks. "The Ten Commandments are the basis of all Western law and morality and it is entirely appropriate to display them to students, state employees, and every Arkansan who enters a government building," said Governor Sanders' Communications Director Sam Dubke.

A growing number of Republican-controlled states are bringing God, the Bible, and the Ten Commandments back into government schools. From Oklahoma's mandate to have the Bible in school to Louisiana's new law mandating the Ten Commandments, states are getting bolder. Alabama just passed bill similar the Arkansas' new law, too.

The de-Christianization of education began as government started taking over in the 19th century. But that was formalized in the 1960s when the U.S. Supreme Court unconstitutionally removed Bible reading and prayer under the guise of the First Amendment.

The First Amendment applies to Congress, though, as it states explicitly. When it was written and ratified, most states had established churches. And in any case, the prayers and Bible readings were hardly establishing a "religion" at the state level. In fact, the prayer banned by the court was developed by a rabbi, a Catholic priest, and a Protestant minister.

Ironically, what the high court really sought to do was impose a false religion on America by edict, as the

dissent noted. "Refusal to permit religious exercises thus is seen, not as the realization of state neutrality, but rather as the establishment of a religion of secularism," explained Justice Potter Stewart, correctly.

Of course, the Bible was the primary educational tool in American education for centuries. In fact, the first-ever law passed in North America dealing with education was the "Old Deluder Satan Act" in Massachusetts. The objective: Ensure everybody could read so they could study the Bible and avoid being deceived by the prince of demons.

Unfortunately, with the Supreme Court's 1960s rulings, John Dewey's "Religious Humanism" and a godless, anti-Christian worldview were established as the national religion. All children in government schools were to be taught this false religion, and taxpayers — well over 90 percent of whom identified as Christian — would pay for it.

Incredibly, in 1980, the U.S. Supreme Court cited the "Lemon Test" and banned the Decalogue in schools using an incredible argument. "Posting of religious texts on the wall serves no such educational function," wrote far-left Justice William Brennan in the ruling, claiming it could only be taught as "history" or "comparative religion" alongside other texts.

The ruling got even more ridiculous from there. "If the posted copies of the Ten Commandments are to have any effect at all, it will be to induce the schoolchildren to read, meditate upon, perhaps to venerate and obey, the Commandments," it said, as if it were a bad thing to have children ponder objective morality and obey God's moral laws.

The enemies of the Ten Commandments rarely state a clear objection to any of the commands. After all, even among pagans, "thou shalt not murder," "thou shalt not steal," "thou shalt not commit adultery," "thou shalt not bear false witness," and others seem to be a rather reasonable moral code.

Legal experts say the judicial environment has shifted from when the Lemon Test was imposed. In fact, in *Kennedy v. Bremerton School District*, the Court specifically eliminated it. As such, the First Liberty Institute argues the foundational argument to ban the Ten Commandments has been overturned, and Arkansas' law will stand.

It seems increasingly possible that the courts could eventually recognize the obvious again: America was founded as a Christian nation, and the Bible and the Ten Commandments are essential. However, the reality is that even then, considering the history, government schools will not be a safe place for children.
— Alex Newman

Bessent: U.S. Will Stay in IMF/World Bank and "Reform" Them

U.S. Treasury Secretary Scott Bessent "sharply criticized" the International Monetary Fund and World bank on April 23 at the spring meeting of the institutions in Washington, D.C. But his speech also signaled that the Trump administration intends to work to "reform" and "strengthen" the institutions, rather than

withdrawing from them as many conservatives have advocated.

A foretaste of Bessent's speech was provided in an earlier statement about the IMF/World Bank meeting released by the Treasury Department and IMF. Here is how Politico reported Bessent's April 23 speech:

> Treasury Secretary Scott Bessent said Wednesday that the Trump administration would pursue a significant overhaul of the International Monetary Fund and World Bank as it seeks a "sustainable international economic system" that better serves the United States and other countries.
>
> Bessent said the administration would not retreat from those institutions, as some Trump allies had proposed, but instead would seek a stronger leadership role and push for major institutional changes as part of a plan to "restore equilibrium to the global financial system."
>
> "America First does not mean America alone," Bessent said in a speech on the sidelines of the spring meetings of the IMF and World Bank. The Trump administration, he said, "seeks to expand U.S leadership" in those institutions as he charted a slew of reforms that the administration wants to see.

The Associated Press provided these additional details:

> Treasury Secretary Scott Bessent leveled harsh criticism at the operations of the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund on Wednesday even as he tried to reassure nervous investors that the United States would maintain its global leadership role.
>
> "America first does not mean America alone," he said in a speech to the Institute of International Finance, where he also promised support for the multilateral banks' core missions. "To the contrary, it is a call for deeper collaboration and mutual respect among trade partners."
>
> Although Bessent said the IMF and the World Bank are "falling short," he did not call for the U.S. to withdraw from the institutions, as some conservatives had advocated in a Project 2025 proposal created by the Heritage Foundation.

> He said the institutions "serve critical roles in the international system. And the Trump administration is eager to work with them — so long as they can stay true to their missions."

"So long as they can stay true to their missions"? The "missions" of the IMF and World Bank, as designed at the Bretton Woods Conference, were to create a global financial system that would function as the economic force to drive the sovereign nations of the world into the world government-in-the-making, the newly formed United Nations. Efforts to "reform" and "fix" these congenitally corrupt and subversive institutions are doomed to failure.
— William F. Jasper

Another Con-Con Victory: Convention Call Rescinded in Washington State

On April 18, SJM 8008 was filed with Washington's secretary of state, officially "rescinding prior applications for a constitutional convention to propose amendments to the Constitution of the United States." The bill handily passed both houses of the legislature, which are overwhelmingly controlled by Democrats. One of the ironies of the ongoing Con-Con battles is that constitutionalist Republicans frequently must ally with left-wing Democrats to oppose fellow Republicans who have been hoodwinked into believing that amending the Constitution through a constitutional convention or "Convention of States" is the "conservative" solution to unconstitutional big government. SJM 8008 did not require the signature of the governor and became effective upon filing with the Secretary of State's office.
— William F. Jasper

[END]